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## Introduction

In Quebec, Canada, almost 15\% of bilingual children aged 10-14 may be instructed in a language that is not spoken at home (Statistics Canada, 2016).

- Are children learning mathematics in a second language disadvantaged, or does a second language create an enriched exposure to mathematica concepts ? (Bialystok, 2009; Clarkson, 1992; Van Rinsveld et al., 2015).


## Aims

To understand how linguistic, quantitative, and executive precursors are implicated in second grade children's mathematical development.

- To clarify how developmental numeracy pathways are affected when the language of instruction is different from the language used in the home to first expose children to numeracy concepts.


## Framework

Pathways Model of Numeracy Development (LeFevre et al., 2010) : three cognitive precursors (linguistic, quantitative, and executive factors)
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## Method

## Context

- Language Learning and Mathematics Achievement (LLaMA) Project
- Collaboration with J.-A. LeFevre (Carleton University), S.-L. Skwarchuk (University of Winnipeg), J. Wylie (Queen's University Belfast), and V. Simms (University of Ulster)


## Participants

- Second-grade students ( $n=81$ ) in 6 francophone schools in Quebec, Canada Unilingual francophone ( $n=50$ ) receiving mathematics instruction in French
- Bi - or multilingual ( $n=31$ ); Home language: English (16 students), Other (15 students)

|  | Unilingual Children | Bilingual Children | $t$-test | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in months | 95.0 (5.4) | 95.7 (4.8) | Non significant |  |
| Gender | Male: 46\% Female: 54\% | Male: 35.5\% Female: 64.5\% |  | Non significant |
| Family Income | Very low: 20\% <br> Low: 20\% <br> Medium: 15\% <br> High: 10\% <br> Very high: 35 \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Very low: 12\% } \\ & \text { Low: } 22 \% \\ & \text { Medium: } 11 \% \\ & \text { High:22\% } \\ & \text { Very high: } 33 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Non significant |

## Procedure

- Individual testing sessions (1-1.5 hours)

Measures
Outcomes: Number line estimation arithmetic fluency, and word-problem solving
$\qquad$ Figure 2. Number line Figure 2. Number
estimation task
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- Executive: Verbal short-term and working memory, visuospatial short-term and working memory
Quantitative: Subitizing
Symbolic Math Predictors
- Linguistic \& Quantitative: Math vocabulary
- Quantitative: Number comparison


## Results

Comparison (t-tests)

| Table 2. Mathematics outcomes statistics |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Comparison | $\boldsymbol{t}$-test |
| Number line <br> position | bilingual > unilingual | .082 |
| Arithmetic fluency | bilingual $=$ unilingual | .220 |
| Word-problem <br> solving | bilingual $=$ unilingual | .454 |

## Prediction



## Discussion

- Math vocabulary is predictive in arithmetic fluency, regardless of language group.
- Math vocabulary is predictive in number line estimation and in word problem solving in unilingual children.
- Next steps:
- To compare our pattern of results with those of Ottawa and Winnipeg - To establish developmental patterns by testing the same children one year later (2018-19)
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